**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JAIPUR BENCH**

S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.3340/02

Nandkishore **Versus** Board of Revenue & Ors.

DATE OF ORDER :: 16/05/2007

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI

Mr. Ashok Sharma, for petitioner
Mr. Nitin Jain] for respondents
Mr. B.S. Chhaba, Dy.G.A.]

***

Instant petition has been filed against rejection of interim relief which petitioner has prayed for in the suit instituted u/s.188 read with Section 92-A of Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 alongwith the application for temporary injunction u/s.212 of Tenancy Act.

The Assistant Collector initially rejected his application, but the Learned Revenue Appellate Authority after taking into consideration the material on record vide order dated 8th September, 1999 directed the respondents not to dispossess petitioner from the land in question – against which respondents preferred revision petition before learned Board of Revenue which was allowed vide order Ann.7 dated 26th March, 2002 and the order by which injunction was granted in favour of petitioner was set aside.

Both the counsel have informed that because of pendency of instant petition, the suit has not

been decided by learned authority which was instituted way back in 1998.

Without going into merits at this stage, this court considers it proper that both the parties shall maintain status quo in regard to the disputed land and the learned authority may decide the suit expeditiously, but in no case later than six months. Both the parties are directed to appear before learned Assistant Collector on 9th July, 2007.

With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[AJAY RASTOGI],J.

FRBOHRA,JR.P.A.